

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,042	07/18/2002	Jorg Peter Schur	von Kreisler.022	9726
110 7:	590 08/01/2003			
-	IN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN EXAMINER	NER		
1601 MARKET SUITE 2400			LEVY, N	NEIL S
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	0
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	Ó

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

I Application	n No. Annicant(e)		
	Application No. 672 Applicant(s) Schyr		
Office Action Summary (Examiner	Picky 16/6 6		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	over sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Peri df r Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _ OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the 	statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status $\gamma(R/\gamma)$			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal maccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 4			
Disp sition of Claims, 12			
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
Claim(s) / - 90			
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	requirement. TO-948.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved. e Examiner.		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	requirement. TO-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	requirement. TO-948. □ approved □ disapproved. □ Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. TO-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. TO-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. TO-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement. TO-948. approved disapproved. Examiner. C. § 11 9(a)-(d). documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

Art Unit: 1616

This application contains the following species of the generic invention, and the following inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499 applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted:

Group I, claims 1-48 surface composition

Group Ⅱ, claims 1-48 incorporation

Group Ⅲ, claims 1-48 surface and incorporation

Claims 1-48 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising one article: wood, timber wood products, textiles and textile raw materials, plastics and rubbers airfilters, wool, cotton, natural and mineral insulation and sealant materials, construction materials made of mineral and natural substances, filters, soils and fertilizers, animal-derived raw materials, paints, lubricants, adhesives, detergents and cleaning agents. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1616

Claim 44 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising additive species: emulsifiers, stabilizers, antioxidants, preservatives, solvents and/or carriers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 1-48 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising ultimate species combination of 2 or more Gras agents: for example one of claim 9 alcohols: benzyl alcohol, acetoin, ethyl alcohol, propyl alcohol, isopropyl alcohol, propylene glycol, glycerol, n-butyl alcohol, iso-butyl alcohol, hexy alcohol, L-menthol, octyl alcohol, cinnamyl alcohol, α-methylbenzyl alcohol, heptyl alcohol, n-amyl alcohol, iso-amyl alcohol, anisalcohol, citronellol, n-decyl alcohol, geraniol, β,γ-hexenol, lauryl alcohol, linalool, nerolidol, nonadienol, nonyl alcohol, rhodinol, terpineol, borneol, clineol, anisole, cuminyl alcohol, 10-undecene-1-ol, 1-hexadecanol and one ultimate species of phenol compound: catechol, resorcinol, hydroquinone, phloroglucinol, pyrogallol, cyclohexane, resveratrol, usnic acid, caffeic acid, tannin. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 7-12, 21-23, 31-43 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising ultimate derivative compound (c) said GRAS acid (c) is selected from the group consisting of: acetic acid, aconitic acid, adipic acid, formic acid, malic acid, capronic acid, hydrocinnamic acid, pelargonic acid, lactic acid, phenoxyacetic acid, phenylacetic acid, valeric acid, iso-valeric acid, cinnamic acid, citric acid, mandelic acid, tartaric acid, fumaric acid, tannic acid. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 10-19 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising added GRAS ultimate compound; of claim 9. Applicant is required under 35

Art Unit: 1616

U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 20-43 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising ultimate species, of claim 20 is elected, of GRAS flavor alcohols, including benzyl alcohols, including benzyl alcohols, including benzyl alcohol, 2-phenylethanol, 1-phenylethanol, cinnamyl alcohol, hydrocinnamyl alcohol, 1-phenyl-1-propanol and anisalcohol, n-butyl alcohol, iso-butyl alcohol, hexyl alcohol, L-menthol, octyl alcohol, heptyl alcohol, n-amyl alcohol, iso-amyl alcohol, anisalcohol, citronellol, n-decyl alcohol, geraniol, β,γ-hexenol, lauryl alcohol, linalool, nerolidol, nonadienol, nonyl alcohol, rhodinol, terpineol, borneol, clineol, anisole, cuminyl alcohol, 10-undecene-1-ol and 1-hexadecanol allicin, triacetin, potassium acetate, sodium acetate and calcium acetate: and/or said hydrophilic aldehyde [(hh)] is selected from the group consisting of furfural, propionaldehyde and vanillin. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1616

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions and species listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical features are:

Treating articles by surface application, incorporation, or both, with known compounds, by known methods, into known articles of commerce. The application methods are not novel, the compounds are not novel, and the combination of compounds applied to surfaces, incorporated or both is known and within the skill of the artisan to attain.

Since this application is filed under Rule 371, the legal authority is PCT Rule 13.2, Annex B, part 1(f) "Markush Practice"; PCT Rule 13 and 35 U.S.C. § 372, rather.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-4556 for regular communications and 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy/tgd July 24, 2003

NEIL S. LEVY
PRIMARY EXAMINER